By Tim Beatty
Guest columnist

'Fail fast' manufacturing: How disciplined experimentation strengthens, not threatens, quality

In manufacturing, few phrases raise eyebrows faster than "fail fast." In the startup world, this business strategy is celebrated as a sign of agility. On a ceramic manufacturing floor, it can sound careless or even dangerous.

I understand that reaction. Our industry is built on precision, process control, and reliability. We work with unforgiving materials, expensive capital equipment, and customers who depend on consistency. Failure, in the traditional sense, has real consequences.

That is exactly why the "fail fast" mentality deserves a closer look. When properly understood, "fail fast" is not about lowering standards or accepting defects. It is about learning earlier in the development process before uncertainty becomes costly, disruptive, or irreversible.

Learning before a steep cost curve

In ceramic manufacturing, many of the highest risks are embedded at the beginning of a project. New material formulations, unfamiliar geometries, novel joining methods, or unproven machining approaches often carry unknowns that only reveal themselves once time and money have already been invested.

The central idea behind "fail fast" thinking is simple: pull those risks forward. Rather than discovering problems during the qualification or scale-up stages, teams design early experiments to answer the most important questions first before sellable parts are produced.

Explained this way, the distinction becomes clear: Actual failure manifests in broken parts, missed specifications, and disappointed customers; "fail fast" learning prevents those outcomes.

Bridging production and innovation

Manufacturing organizations constantly balance two necessary but competing priorities. Production teams focus on repeatability, yield, throughput, and delivery. Innovation teams, by contrast, operate in an environment of uncertainty, exploring boundaries and testing assumptions so that larger failures do not occur later.

Problems arise whenever these two modes of work coexist without structure. When innovation is deferred because production feels more urgent, uncertainty compounds. When experimentation encroaches on production without guardrails, risk increases. Bridging this gap requires more than alignment meetings—it requires process design.

What 'fail fast' looks like in practice

In ceramic manufacturing, "fail fast" learning often centers on one high-risk step, such as untested machining strategies, new tool designs, or incorporating automation. Instead of validating that step last, effective teams validate it first.

A good "fail fast" experiment has three characteristics:

- **A clear hypothesis**, i.e., a specific question to be answered.
- **A simple setup** that minimizes scale, cost, and complexity.
- **Defined success criteria** that clearly outline what "working" means.

Simulation, modeling, 3D-printed test pieces, and rapid prototyping allow teams to evaluate feasibility and process limits without disrupting production or customer commitments. Speed matters here, but only when it is paired with control.

Safety, compliance, and quality are nonnegotiable

It is important to recognize what "fail fast" does not mean:

- It does not override compliance. ISO standards, customer qualifications, and internal quality systems remain foundational.
- It applies to concepts, not production parts.
- Early experimentation must occur in controlled environments with proper safety reviews and clear separation from customer deliverables.

Organizations with strong quality systems are often best positioned to innovate responsibly because they know how to define boundaries and act on data.

Changing the conversation around failure

Even with the right systems in place, "failure" is an uncomfortable term, especially for engineers trained to value precision. Leadership plays a critical role in reframing the conversation from fear of failure to a focus on the speed of learning.

Sharing examples of when early experiments prevented expensive rework or clarified design limits helps teams see the value of disciplined experimentation. But just as important is knowing when to stop. If safety risks cannot be mitigated, the experiments lack a clear purpose, or constant iteration creates instability, it is time to slow down.

The real payoff

When done well, "fail fast" produces fewer failures, not more. It replaces late-stage surprises with early insight and builds confidence across engineering, operations, and quality teams.

For ceramic manufacturers facing tighter tolerances, new materials, and rising expectations, that confidence matters. In an industry defined by precision, learning early is not a luxury—it is a competitive advantage.

About the author

Tim Beatty is president of Bullen Ultrasonics (Eaton, Ohio), a company specializing in the precision machining of advanced materials using ultrasonic and laser-based technologies. Contact Beatty at tbeatty@bullentech.com.